1	SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON THE POTENTIAL SALE OF JEA AGENDA			
2	AGENDA			
3	Thursday, March 8, 2018 3:30 p.m.			
4	Council Chambers 1st Floor, City Hall			
5	Carol Owens, Chief of Legislative Services John R. Crescimbeni, Chair			
6	Danny Becton Anna Lopez Brosche			
7	Garrett Dennis Joyce Morgan			
8	Lori Boyer Jim Love			
9	Greg Anderson Tommy Hazouri			
10	Tohan, Hazouri			
11	Legislative Assistant: Staci Lopez Legislative Assistant: Mia Richardson			
12	Research Assistant: Jeff Clements Council Auditor's Office: Kyle Billy Council Auditor's Office: Phillip Peterson Office of General Counsel: Margaret Sidman			
13				
14	Sondra Fetner			
15	DATE TAKEN: March 8, 2018 TIME: 3:35 p.m 5:14 p.m.			
16	PLACE: City Hall 117 West Duval Street			
17	Council Chambers Jacksonville, FL 32202			
18				
19	This cause came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following Proceedings			
20	were reported by:			
21				
22	Stephanie Powers Cusimano Registered Professional Reporter			
23	Florida Professional Reporter Powers Reporting, Inc.			
24	301 West Bay Street, Suite 1418 Jacksonville, FL 32202			
25	545.15511 VIII 52202			

1 PROCEEDINGS MR. CRESCIMBENI: We're going to call the 3 meeting on the special committee on the potential sale of JEA. Today is Thursday, 4 March 8th, 2018. It's about 3:35. 6 I want to thank everybody for being here. 7 Today's meeting is kind of going to be a -more or less an organizational meeting, and 9 we're going to try to set -- plot a course and 10 move forward with the information provided to 11 us today. I've got a couple of discussion 12 points that I want to put forward to find some 13 conclusion on. 14 So with that said, if we can have 15 everybody introduce themselves for the record. 16 And we'll start with Mr. Anderson. 17 MR. ANDERSON: Greg Anderson, at large, 18 Group 4, just visiting. 19 MS. BOYER: Lori Boyer, City Council, 20 District 5 --21 MR. HAZOURI: Tommy Hazouri -- excuse me. 22 Go ahead. 2.3 MS. BOYER: -- just visiting. 24 MR. HAZOURI: Tommy Hazouri, Group 3 at 25 large.

1	MR. NEWBY: Sam Newby, Group 5 at large,
2	just visiting.
3	MR. LOVE: Jim Love, District 14, just
4	visiting as well.
5	MS. BROSCHE: Anna Lopez Brosche, Group 1.
6	MR. DENNIS: Garrett Dennis, District 9.
7	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I'm John Crescimbeni, at
8	large, Group 2.
9	MR. BECTON: Danny Becton, District 11.
10	MS. MORGAN: Joyce Morgan, District 1.
11	MS. FETNER: Sondra Fetner, Office of
12	General Counsel.
13	MS. SIDMAN: Peggy Sidman, Office of
14	General Counsel.
15	MR. BILLY: Kyle Billy, Council Auditor.
16	MR. PETERSON: Phillip Peterson, Council
17	Auditor's office.
18	MR. CLEMENTS: Jeff Clements, Council
19	Research.
20	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Again, thank you for
21	being here.
22	Ms. Sidman, are you you're assigned to
23	this committee?
24	MS. SIDMAN: Yes.
25	MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. Would you

mind just reading the committee charge into the record.

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

And for those of you who may have noticed, we have a court reporter here today, so this meeting is going to be -- there's going to be a verbatim transcript created for this meeting and each subsequent meeting.

So go ahead and read that into the record.

MS. SIDMAN: This is the special committee of the potential sale of JEA. The committee charge, understand all aspects and implications, who, what, where, and why -sorry, who, what, where, when, and why of the potential sale of JEA and the roles that various parties to such a potential sale will play in the process; conduct necessary meetings and hearings to gather the relevant facts the entire City Council should consider in its decision related to the potential sale of JEA; offer monthly, or as frequently as necessary, updates as to the progress of this work to the City Council at its regular meetings; make recommendations to ensure a transparent and open process for the citizens of Jacksonville as to the consideration of the potential sale

of JEA.

2.3

MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. Thank you,
Ms. Sidman.

With that said, I wanted to say that my goal as chair of the special committee is to hold exactly what our charge calls for, and that's a series of objective and transparent conversations about the possible benefits and drawbacks to the City, to the JEA rate payers, to the JEA employees, potential sale of JEA.

However, I have -- I'm very concerned with recent events, many of those which have been reported in the news have muddied the waters in which we can have such an objective conversation, and I also sense that some of my members on -- not only this committee, but on the Council as well have developed -- or those news events have kind of contributed to a feeling of mistrust, and I think we need to overcome that.

So as chairman, in order to have, as the charge calls, a transparent objective conversation, I personally want to wipe the slate clean of all recent events and new stories, and I'm going to ask that you consider

doing the same as well.

2.3

Also, I want to facilitate an environment in these meetings in which we can be assured that we receive accurate, truthful information, which we can all rely on. And part of today's meeting later on in the agenda will include discussion on some tools that are available to us to help us do just that.

So with that, we will go on to our JEA fact review. These are some things that I think have been misconstrued not only in the press but amongst some of my colleagues. I just would like to get some facts out in front of everybody today.

And I had spoken at our last meeting between Mr. Dennis and I, at the noticed meeting, you-all have this in your packet.

It's a pretty easy read. This is a copy of the portion of JEA's 1995 Annual Report. It's 23 years old. But this was a report they published on the 100th anniversary of the forming of our local municipal utility, so it's not a complete copy of the report, it's a copy of the -- part of the report from '95 that talks about the history of the electricity in

Jacksonville, Florida. I would encourage you to go back home and instead of watching TV, Mrs. Brosche, dig this out, it's a pretty good read. History is always fascinating, but it talks about -- as I mentioned a couple of weeks ago, it talks about how there were a couple of utilities -- couple of electrical companies that started in Jacksonville in 1893, something like that, and they were charging exorbitant rates for the city just to string a few streetlights downtown. And they ended up getting bought by a person that owned the gas company here, so it was probably the first utility in Duval County. And the city wanted -- electricity was a fascinating invention. It sparked a lot of demand --MR. LOVE: Sparked. MR. CRESCIMBENI: Sparked, yeah. And the city decided that they weren't going to pay the

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Sparked, yeah. And the city decided that they weren't going to pay the rates that were being charged by the then existing monopoly, and they decided to start their own electric facility. And they put before the voters a \$1 million bond issue of which part of the funds -- \$1 million in 1895, part of the funds were used to construct a new

electrical plant, a city electric plant, and string some infrastructure to have electricity. This is the kind of stuff many groups love. I would encourage you to read that. It is a fascinating read. So it gives you a good perspective of where we came from. And now 123 years later, we're having another conversation in the opposite direction.

2.3

All right. I think -- is Jordan Hope here? Come on down, Jordan.

I asked Jordan to provide us with some history on Florida electric and sewer sales and customer accounts over the past ten years.

Some of the new council members that may have attended a JEA orientation back in 2015 might have been exposed to some of this information. But I think it's interesting and I want Jordan to go through these five or six slides here. They should be up on the screen, but I want you to pay close attention to trends with regard to not only electric consumption, gallons of water consumed, gallons of water treated on the City's waste water program. Also pay attention to customer count through the years. And as we go through these slides, look at customer

counts going in one direction and revenues until very recently going into another direction. Mr. Pope.

2.3

MR. POPE: Thank you. Jordan Pope, JEA. Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council Members.

The six slides in front of you, just a brief overview, the first four are JEA sales broke down by system, so electric, water, sewer, reclaim, with the associated customer counts. The fifth slide looks at revenues. And the sixth slide looks at electric sales back to 1979 and water sales back to 1990.

On the first slide, electric sales, at
Councilman Cresembeni's request, we went back
ten years, so fiscal year through 2008 through
fiscal year '17. As you can see at the bottom
of the slide, our customer count has continued
to grow since that time. I believe from '08 to
'17, it's probably about 12 percent. At the
same time sales have continued to be a bit of a
challenge, and, of course, we've talked about
this many times before in that energy
efficiency has been one of the main drivers of
the story on electric sales. You can certainly
have weather dry fluctuations from year to

year, but as appliances, HVAC, lighting, and other things have become more efficient, that has been the main driver of electric sales over the past decade.

2.3

Slide two is water sales. And I'm going to lump the next three slides together just a bit, so water, waste water, reclaim. Same story on electric in that customer — number of customer accounts has continued to grow. And you can see that since 2014, sales are up a bit. The weather can also be a large driver of sales in these systems. And as can you see on the reclaim slide, which is slide 4, the customer count has seen significant growth, which results in a significant increase in sales.

The fifth slide is JEA's operating revenues over the last ten years. This is broken down by electric and the water sewer reclaim system. And electric is broken down by base rate and the fuel rate.

I will let you draw your own conclusions from this slide, but as you can see, on the electric side has -- sales have continued to reflect the advancements in technology that

along with that comes the associated revenue that JEA takes in. And as you can see from the previous slide regarding the slight uptake in sales on the water, sewer, and reclaim slide, you can see a slight uptake in recent years, however slight it may be, on revenues from the water and sewer and reclaim system.

2.3

Finally, the final slide, looking back on the top of the page, the charter going back to 1979 on electric sales, you can see that between 1979 and 2007, JEA experienced roughly 3 percent annual growth, historical growth.

In 2007 that changed, and you can see the dashed line on the right portion of the chart would indicate what 3 percent through 2023 would look like. But as you can see from 2007, we are 10 percent declined from our peak sales, and those are projected to be flat or decreasing through 2023.

The bottom portion is water sales since 1990. And this is just water, this does not include sewer and reclaim. The water system experienced 5 percent historical growth 1990 to 2007. And that dashed line on that chart would also represent what a 5 percent growth rate

1	would look like through 2023. We have a
2	14 percent decline since 2007 to today. And
3	as can you see, we're projecting sales to be
4	flat or down through 2023.
5	Mr. Chairman, with that, I'll take any
6	questions.
7	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, Mr. Pope.
8	Thank you for preparing these for us.
9	A 10 percent decline in electric sales,
10	most of that, and 14 percent decline in water
11	sales is in the face of increased customer
12	count, right?
13	MR. POPE: Yes, sir.
14	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Are there any questions
15	from the committee on this?
16	Cue, Mr. Hazouri?
17	MR. HAZOURI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	Just quickly.
19	You attribute this decline based on the
20	condemnation programs that y'all have of both
21	electric and water or is this is this
22	comparable to all other public and private
23	utilities around the state?
24	MR. POPE: On the electric side, energy
25	efficiency is the driver. On the water side, I

mean, you can see in both of these charts that the time the sales start to decline is consistent with when we had some issues with the economy in the mid 2000s.

There are certainly conservation efforts

2.3

There are certainly conservation efforts on the water side that we hope contribute.

Water is a valuable resource, but as you can see, sales are continuing to increase on that side. And there are a number of drivers,

Councilman, from weather and other things.

MR. HAZOURI: And I don't want to get into the next chapter before you get to it of the private, but do you know off the top of your head how this reflects on the -- as reflected on the part of the rest of the utilities around the state?

MR. POPE: As far as sales?

MR. HAZOURI: As far as on kind of sales, yeah, declines on both water and electric?

MR. POPE: I'll stick to electric. Energy efficiency has impacted the industry in general because of the efficiencies of everything you see around you, from appliances, HVAC, lighting, insulation, you name it.

On water, I would rather answer that

1	question	after	gathering	some	more	information.

MR. HAZOURI: Okay. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Becton.

MR. BECTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And I guess my question is to you in your vision in terms of us going forward. This was the -- like you said, a presentation that many of us when we got in office in 20- -- right before we got in office in 2015, we met with Mr. McElroy and JEA and pretty much kind of had this glass-half-empty conversation with JEA. And I know personally I stood back after the meeting and talked to Mr. McElroy about a different perspective on how companies that are, you know, facing adversity with technology and the obsolescence and so forth, but what were the opportunities of, you know, glass half full of JEA. And certainly looking at it at the time, we were negotiating our contribution, or getting ready to, the conversation with woe is me, please don't ask for any more money than we can afford, and certainly that was -- that was kind of the conversation at the time.

But I guess my question to you is is, do

you plan to have the leadership of JEA come in here and talk about the opportunities instead of just saying, you know, we're racing toward obsolescence, but — in terms of electricity or is this the time that I should ask Mr. Pope about how do we change this trajectory of JEA and what the vision is?

2.3

MR. CRESCIMBENI: You're welcome to ask
Mr. Pope that. I'm confident that he's not
going to be able to answer that question
because he doesn't have authority to answer
that question. And I don't know, the only
other person here from JEA is Renee, correct,
so we can certainly -- part of today's meeting
is an informational meeting. I'm going to ask
this committee for guidance on what this
committee wants to pursue. We can certainly
have JEA come and make that presentation. That
may be helpful in our conversation about a
potential sale.

MR. BECTON: Well, if you don't mind me while I have the microphone making a suggestion, that -- I certainly would like some leadership of -- to see JEA come in and give us a shareholder presentation in terms of how

1	they're going to enhance our value with utility
2	rather than us just insinuate that the decline
3	is going to be forever, and at some point, you
4	know, this is going to be Block Buster video
5	and we're all going to be using DVRs. So I
6	think there might be another story to be told
7	here, at least I would hope so, because if not,
8	that is a conversation that we need to expand
9	upon.
10	MR. POPE: Mr. Chairman, may I respond
11	quickly?
12	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Sure.
13	MR. POPE: Councilman Becton, I hear you.
14	We'll be happy to come back and talk about
15	that. This is simply the facts of the last ten
16	years and what we would project, and we'd be
17	happy to have the other part of that
18	conversation.
19	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Well, on the electric
20	side, JEA's facts aren't too much different
21	from any other electric facility, correct?
22	MR. POPE: Yes, sir.
23	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Ms. Boyer.
24	MS. BOYER: Through the Chair, Mr. Pope, I
25	just want to make sure. I'm on your last

1	chart, and reading your percent declines that
2	you were referencing. So this is a percent
3	decline projected over the period 2007 to 2023,
4	so that's 10 percent over 16 years; is that
5	correct?
6	MR. POPE: The 10 percent reference is
7	2006 through 2016, the arrows being the end
8	of the arrows.
9	MS. BOYER: Okay. That's what I was
10	trying to understand. So that is a ten-year
11	10 percent decline, so you're seeing
12	approximately 1 percent a year if you're
13	averaging it?
14	MR. POPE: Yes, ma'am.
15	MS. BOYER: Okay. And then in your water
16	sales, is it 2007 to '17 based on your
17	MR. POPE: Yes, ma'am.
18	MS. BOYER: arrows? Okay. Thank you.
19	MR. POPE: You're welcome.
20	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Ms. Boyer.
21	Mr. Hazouri for the second time.
22	MR. HAZOURI: Mr. Pope, just for my own
23	clarification for this committee, is it JEA,
24	beyond the RFP that was sent out, are y'all
25	doing any other studies? Is this going on at

the same time? Are y'all reviewing the possibility of a sale or not a sale at the same time or are we -- I'm talking about duplication. I just want to know -- we're here. We know what we're doing. I want to know if y'all are doing something like this specific council is or have y'all also continued to move along as if you're going to sell or not sell? Have y'all got a study going on yourselves?

2.3

MR. POPE: Through the Chair to the councilman, this is —— really the only thing I'm aware of is that our board at its last meeting agreed to schedule a workshop to discuss this topic. Other than that, I'm not aware of any other duplicative effort.

MR. HAZOURI: A workshop — through the Chair, a workshop meaning that y'all could very well move forward, reviewing it yourself, continuing where you left off with that \$100,000 RFP, and I'm using that as my example of what y'all did, and continue that moving forward, that y'all may very well at the workshop decide that y'all want to continue to study and do what we're doing right here?

MR. POPE: Through the Chair, I'm not
aware of what would come of that workshop, but
I know that the it was expressed that they
would like to hold that workshop to discuss the
topic.

MR. HAZOURI: The only reason I'm really asking is because, as Mr. Becton was indicating, he'd like y'all to come and talk to us, and you said you would be glad to. And I don't want to do a Texas two step anywhere, you're talking to us and y'all are talking to yourselves, and then I've got two different approaches and two different answers.

MR. POPE: Yes, sir.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Hazouri.

Mr. Dennis.

2.3

MR. DENNIS: Through the Chair to

Mr. Pope, I would appreciate these handouts,

but I would like to know, you know, year over

year, you know, what is your net profit,

because I understand sales. You can have, you

know, \$2 billion in sales, you know, and

decline to, you know, 900,000 -- I mean \$900

billion in sales, but it's saying that, again,

\$30 of sales, but you could make a -- you know,

a 2 percent profit, but you could have \$900 million in sales and have a 10 percent profit. So I think it would be helpful to me to know what was the net profit, because sales, you know, can be inflated or -- you know, I mean, just because you -- you know, again, just because you, you know, do less in sales, you would have a huge profit margin because you cut costs, because you've become more efficient in how you do business.

2.3

So, you know, in order for me to really understand the health of the -- of the organization, of the utility, I would like to know, you know, the net profit, the bottom line. I don't know if you have that today, but definitely at the next meeting that would be helpful for me.

MR. POPE: Through the Chair, my first response would be we're a not-for-profit community and utility, but to the extent that -- I think I understand what you're looking for, and if you want to, we can talk afterwards so that I can provide you with the right information.

You know, we have -- we have been fiscally

diligent in the last number of years with our debt. And so to the extent that you want to see any of that, we can provide it.

MR. DENNIS: Through the Chair, so I really appreciate you want to talk to me off, but I think that moving forward, you know, I think it will be very, very important that we talk in the open, in the public, so all of my colleagues will hear the same thing that I'm hearing.

But again, I understand that you're not for profit, but, you know, from -- you know, from my understanding is that that the utility is very healthy, you know, it's flush with cash. And so I would like to get to the bottom of how much cash and how much cash that -- that utility is making year over year.

And again, just showing graphs, I mean,

I -- you know, in my business there's years

where I didn't make as many sales, but my

profit margin was very high. You know, there's

years where, you know, I made a whole lot in my

business, but my profit margin was very low.

So this -- this can be a little misleading that

the sales are going down, but again, you could

have efficiencies in the way you do business and you could -- you know, you could run a lot of trucks and you can potentially -- you know, you could have bought your fuel at a cheaper cost, which, again, you know, lower your operating -- your operating dollars. So that's very, very important for me to see.

2.3

MR. POPE: Yes, sir. Through the Chair, I did not mean to insinuate that information without being in public, just that we could clarify. And we are certainly happy to have everyone have that information.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, Mr. Dennis.

Mr. Billy, can you work with Mr. Pope or whomever at JEA and perhaps look at a -- or just come up with kind of a rudimentary revenue and expense sheet for ten years? I know there's not going to be a net profit line, but something that we can see, maybe increased contributions to net services at the time, et cetera, so we can see where the money's going.

MR. BILLY: Yes, I would be happy to.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Would that satisfy you?

Ms. Morgan.

Powers Reporting, Inc. 301 West Bay Street, Suite 1418 Jacksonville, FL 32202 MS. MORGAN: Thank you so much.

2.3

Through the Chair to Mr. Pope, Mr. Pope, I wanted to pretty much continue along the same vein as Councilman Dennis because I actually had written down the exact same questions. So I won't ask the same questions, but I will ask, since JEA is not-for-profit, then what is the mission of JEA?

MR. POPE: Our mission is to provide electric, water, waste water, reclaim water service to the community. That is our mission.

MS. MORGAN: So does your mission statement include that you are supposed to provide it at a lower rate or at a discounted rate or at a reasonable rate, or is -- rates are not considered in your mission statement, correct?

MR. POPE: I think rates are certainly —
excuse me. Through the Chair, rates are
certainly a part of what we do. Our rates are
very important to us. If you look at our rates
now, we're below the medium in our business
lines when compared to the state of Florida.
And so rates are absolutely important because
we are owned by the community. What we collect

from the community is what we put into our business, and we don't want to collect any more than what we need. That is a municipal entity, and so rates are absolutely a part of what we do.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MORGAN: All right. And I quess that kind of goes back to what we were talking about as far as making a profit and the sustainability of JEA. When you're looking at the graphs that you gave us, you would -- you almost feel uncomfortable because we see that -- we see a decline. And -- and, quite frankly, when you look at something like this and you see decline, I think automatically that triggers people to think that we're not doing well, and so that -- I guess that's why I wanted to ask pretty much the same questions as Mr. Dennis', and is, what's the purpose of JEA? What's the mission statement? Did you make a profit? Can you make a profit? And then what's the strategic plan for the future? that is exactly what Mr. Becton hit on, and where we go. Because if you say this to us, then seemingly most of us have always been taught that if you identify a problem, then the next line is to come up with the solution. So I look forward to talking about the solutions. Thank you.

2.3

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Morgan.

Just for clarification, I requested

Mr. Pope to provide this information and I set

the parameters for the information. This isn't

anything that he came up with to bring to the

committee. I want the committee to take a

ten-year look-back at JEA. I understand that

this is not anything dissimilar to any other

electric utility in the U.S. Everybody is

trying to combat energy efficiency in the face

of debt service that was planned for growth,

isn't materializing because I put an LED light

bulb in my home and I'm reducing my electric

bill.

So I can tell you my business experience of 37 years, it was not a goal to see sales slip. If anything, you wanted to do as much business as you did last year, but you want to grow, you want to grow, you want to grow, you want to increase because you're fighting off costs -- you're fighting off inflation and everything else.

Mr. Becton for the second time.

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. BECTON: Thank you. Through the Chair, I'll be really quick.

You know, certainly Council Member Morgan got me thinking, and I kind of want to expand on what I think maybe you stopped short of, and that is, having JEA as part of that leadership, glass half full, but also I think understanding the mission of JEA is going to be imperative in our pros and cons of our charge here and the fact that there are some reasons that you guys exist as a -- you know, as a publicly owned utility. And some of those things are, you know, things that you do to go out there -- you were spending -- I know 2015 we made a comment about spending millions of dollars to tell us to quit using your product. I mean, we're like, why are we doing that? You know, I bet a private company doesn't go out, you know, 2 million times and says, don't buy any more hamburgers or don't buy any more of this that I sell, and y'all were spending \$1 million to do that.

And also things like being -- you know, trying to be more responsive, you know, trying

1	to enhance the quality of life of being a
2	you know, a publicly owned company. So I do
3	think we need to understand a lot more broader
4	in terms of how JEA does look at their role in
5	our community. Once again, we're shareholders.
6	This is almost like a board of directors
7	meeting as we go forward in evaluating the pros
8	and the cons of, you know, the company that we
9	own. And I think it's important that we all
10	understand together why JEA exists beyond 123
11	years ago, you know, why you know, are we on
12	the same mission? Have we improved that
13	mission and is that a benefit to the community?
14	Do you agree, Mr. Pope, on that?
15	MR. POPE: Yes, sir, we look forward to a
16	comprehensive review over the coming months.
17	MR. BECTON: Okay. Good. Thank you.
18	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Council Member Brosche.
20	MS. BROSCHE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	Through the Chair to Mr. Pope, and
22	actually picking up on what my colleagues have
23	shared, you know, we had that orientation that
24	Councilman Becton referred to. And while it
25	might seem that we've known this, we've been

working with JEA ever since we had that orientation, there is just a new light now in the context of that information that was provided when we first came in or had an update.

2.3

I know that Councilman Anderson and

Councilwoman Boyer had the opportunity to go to
the bond rating hearings as well. I had the
opportunity to do that. It was an excellent,
once again, overview orientation/presentation.

And I think that that is exactly what I hear us
asking for so that we can understand that
mission and the overall trends of what things
are going on so we can ask questions of the
leadership team.

So thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you. Are there any other reports or anything that we want
Mr. Pope to run before we convene again in two weeks?

(No response.)

MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. Mr. Pope,

I've got two requests for you to take back.

The -- is it the PFM report? Is that -- have I got the acronym correct?

MR. POPE: Yes, sir.

2.3

MR. CRESCIMBENI: In the PFM report, there was some discussion about one of the components of a potential sale that a municipal should consider is the philanthropic giving of utility, the volunteerism of the -- of the utility, but there was no quantification of that in the report. Is that something that you can do or look back on for a five-year period?

There was some mention in the report about -- a dozen bullet items, participating in the preservation project, et cetera, but what's not captured in the numbers in the PFM report are any dollars from JEA that went to sponsoring something at JAXPORT or a chamber luncheon or you name it. And what I'd like to see, or if you need to consider that, because I think it's very much worth considering, I would like to put a value on that. And I guess I'm asking you to do a pretty extensive accounting exercise to go back for a five-year period on an annual basis, look at what JEA's done in terms of dollar contributions to anything outside the operation of utility.

And the second part of that request would

1	be volunteerism, which was mentioned in the
2	report. I don't know if you have any way of
3	tracking that, or whether I'm sure your
4	employees get involved in volunteer efforts
5	MR. POPE: Yes, sir.
6	MR. CRESCIMBENI: if you have any data
7	on that, I'd like to see
8	MR. POPE: We do.
9	MR. CRESCIMBENI: prior reports or try
10	to come up with a dollar value on cash
11	expenditures that are unrelated to the
12	operation of the utility.
13	And although I remember I've had a
14	recollection of seeing JEA logo as a sponsor on
15	a lot of things, I hope you track those over
16	the past five years for
17	MR. POPE: Yes, sir, we'll look into it.
18	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you very much.
19	The next thing is the report. I asked the
20	Council Auditor's office to prepare this report
21	on revenues or I'm sorry, Ms. Boyer, I did
22	not see you.
23	Mr. Pope, you need to come back.
24	MS. BOYER: Through the Chair to Mr. Pope,
25	Councilman Crescimbeni is asking about

1	additional information. And as he flipped back
2	through the back of the report, one of the
3	things that was pointed out in the PFM report
4	was that private utilities are not eligible for
5	FEMA reimbursement. So what I wanted to know
6	is, what was the extent of damage incurred both
7	with Matthew and with Irma that you might be
8	seeking reimbursement for so that we can just
9	put in our thinking cap on any kind of disaster
10	situation, what kind of liability we might face
11	that would not otherwise be reimbursable.
12	MR. POPE: Yes, ma'am.
13	MS. BOYER: Thank you.
14	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Is that related to the
15	report you sent me earlier this year?
16	MR. POPE: Yes, sir.
17	MR. CRESCIMBENI: You got full
18	reimbursement?
19	MR. POPE: No, sir, it's not full
20	reimbursement. We do have insurance, and it is
21	pretty close.
22	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Okay. That's an easy
23	one for you because you've already done it.
24	MR. POPE: Yes, sir.
25	MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. Thank you.

The next sheet that I wanted to at least look before going -- this is a -- this is getting manipulated in the media and e-mails that I get, and that's the contribution -- JEA's contribution, not to be confused with a collection of a fee or tax the City levies, it's remitted to us each year. And this is a ten-year look-back on that.

1

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So in 2017, look at this chart. It refers you to try to educate people when they have a misconception of what's going on. In 2017, yes, but JEA contributed from their operations \$115.8 million in the City's capital fund. We spent that as we saw fit in the budget, but 38 million, which is our 3 percent franchise fee, and the 86 million, which, I believe, was a 10 percent utility tax, we're going to collect that regardless of who the electric provider is. That's -- that's something we're telling the utility to collect on our behalf. If you levy the taxes, if you levy the fee, those have to be collected by a new membership.

So I get a little frustrated reading in the newspaper about JEA's \$240 million contribution in 2017. No disrespect, but it

was 115- of their revenue, the other -- the difference is simply passed, it would go to, I think, our behalf. So hang onto to this chart. Feel free to share that with interested parties.

Ms. Boyer.

2.3

MS. BOYER: Thank you. Through the Chair to the committee and potentially to Mr. Billy, if I'm interpreting this right, but as I was looking at the chart, there were several trends from your prior JEA charts that seemed to carry through.

So if you look at the franchisee fee number, the peak on the franchise fee number is — seems to be back in 2011, and I presume that's because the franchisee fee is collected on kilowatt hours and on consumption units, whereas the City utility service tax is collected on the bill, right? It's not collected on the kilowatt hours, it's collected on the charges. So this kind of gets to the question that people were asking about margins and things in that you can see different trends on line 2 from line 3. Line 3 is continuing to increase, which would be based on dollar value

number or revenue number, and then line 2 is -- has decreased based on a consumption number.

2.3

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Billy, are you-all prepared to answer that today?

MR. BILLY: Through the Chair to Council

Member Boyer, now, the franchisee fee is

collected on the gross and — on the bill. The

UST, I call it a public service tax, that is

also on the bill, but there's some methodology

there. Say it's on the fuel part from 1970

through — pre-1970 through part of fuel, and

then if it's on all the base parts, and I

believe it's on water, non sewer, is the way

that one works.

MS. BOYER: So through the Chair to

Mr. Billy, maybe at another meeting we could

have a little bit more clarification because

if — if you are collecting the franchisee fee

based on consumption, and the trends in all of

this — in all providers across the industry is

declining consumption, then we would beg into

our thinking a declining revenue on the

franchisee fee if that is, in fact, the

reality. So that's what I'm trying to

understand.

1	MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. Mr. Billy,
2	can you work on that and have that for a future
3	meeting?
4	MR. BILLY: Yes.
5	MR. CRESCIMBENI: And doesn't is the
6	franchisee fee levied on the utility tax? Is
7	it the other way around? I thought so. Okay.
8	So the utility tax actually taxes everything
9	and the franchisee fee amount, right?
10	MR. PETERSON: Through the Chair to
11	Council Member Crescimbeni, that's correct.
12	Part of that is that fuel part that Mr. Billy
13	mentioned.
14	MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. Any more
15	questions from the councilwoman?
16	All right. Mr. Dennis.
17	MR. DENNIS: So through the Chair to
18	Mr. Billy, so the yellow line was just the
19	contribution to the general fund. That's based
20	on I know you give us an in-depth dive or
21	overview of it, but the yellow line is based on
22	what what
23	MR. BILLY: Okay. Through the Chair to
24	Councilman Dennis, that's pursuant to the
25	formula that we have, and that formula

basically has changed about every five years since the late '70s when it was established. It is based -- there is some kilowatt hours or the amount of water you were sold, but there's always a floor, or a minimum, and that minimum has to go up 1 percent a year over the base. And the base was the very first year of the agreement. So I think we're in about the second year right now in the five-year. So it's not 1 percent over the previous year, it's 1 percent over -- up over the base each year. MR. DENNIS: So, in essence, the yellow line is showing -- it's increasing from 2009 to 2018, so therefore -- so therefore there is more money being made even though there's a decrease in usage. MR. BILLY: Through the Chair to Councilman Dennis, when you say there's more money being made, you're talking about bottom line, like JEA's income increasing. There's really not necessarily a correlation between that and the formula.

1

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

The formula was based on sales historically and then along the way, probably a few decades ago now, they started making

automatic increases or -- now, if there was a period of five years when we're able to now make two and a half million dollars a year, there was -- you know, now we're at the point where it goes up 1 percent a year. So that increase is not necessarily tied to how JEA's performance is.

MR. DENNIS: Thank you.

2.3

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, Mr. Dennis.

The next sheet is a fifth of a monthly report that's published each month by the Florida Municipal Electric Association, and their website is down at the bottom, publicpower.com. And this organization tracks a lot of information on a monthly basis. You should have a sheet that looks like this. Can we get one for Mr. — and again, if you have some time, I encourage you to visit the site. You can go back and take a look at all this information. And this is just part of a monthly report, but they can archive it with probably a decade at least on their website.

I pulled three sheets out of the report.

The first page is essentially a bar graph that
just lists all the -- all the municipal

utilities and their current rate structure

based on -- on a 1,000 kilowatts bill. And you

can see a dash line there, which is the average

investor-owned utility cost for 1,000

kilowatts. The dark line is municipal average.

You see where Jacksonville ranks.

2.3

When you flip the page to the blue and gold bar, this was following the municipal utilities of January 2018. It also lists the investor-run utilities in Florida. Municipals are blue. Investor-owns are gold. And you can see where we rank from left being the lowest cost for 1,000 -- this is at 1200 kilowatts, to the highest on the right being highest at 1200 kilowatts.

We also — the third page, they also give you all the components of the rates for all the utilities at varying increments of consumption, and they post the commercial rate comparison.

This is the first page of the comparison residential. It looks at three different consumption levels, housing, 1200, 2500, whole lots, and compare any municipals at the top listing, and down at the bottom all the investor—owned utilities are listed there.

If you go back and look at these charts over a ten-year period, you see how well or poorly JEA has performed compared to other municipals and investor-owned utilities. Just food for thought, and I encourage you to visit the website. And it's a good place to refer constituents to as well.

2.3

The next item on our agenda is information or updates of the Council Auditor. And Kirk -- I'm sorry. Kyle, I got the right first letter right, you're not prepared to talk about where you stand on your Council Auditor reports, but you ordered an update on what one might expect to --

MR. BILLY: Yes, sir. I'd say probably about a week and a half, or definitely by the next meeting, which, I believe is two weeks from now.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: And can you describe what that report will address or would prefer not to?

MR. BILLY: I'd prefer not to.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Okay. That's fine. All right. And then you are -- you have had a chance to review the PFM report, which was

distributed on or about February 14th. And although he's not necessarily going to opine on that today since he's going to -- his report may deal with some of that -- deal with some of that, is his overview. He said he would answer any questions, but he might have to think about that, so I don't know if anybody had any questions. Did everybody read that report?

Ms. Brosche.

2.3

MS. BROSCHE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't really have any questions for Mr. Billy on that report. I do have questions for the JEA leadership when they come visit, so I'd like to hold my questions.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: That's fine. If you haven't read the report, it's 20, 30 pages, it's a pretty interesting read. It's -- some of it's a little technical, you might have to read the paragraph a couple of times to get all the same -- but it's -- I read it a couple of times, and parts of it I was interested in, parts of it I thought was boilerplate, but, you know, could have been anybody's report, just take out some utility's name and plugged in JEA. But I've got questions too and we can

1	certainly address those at the next meeting.
2	Mr. Hazouri.
3	MR. HAZOURI: What was interesting at the
4	outset too was the date of the report to me. I
5	mean, it just kind of glared at me. It went
6	out the report went out on December the 20th
7	and it was completed on January 15th, a month
8	less a day less than a month on
9	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Hazouri, I think you
10	might be talking about the RMP.
11	MR. HAZOURI: I am.
12	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I'm talking about the
13	report that was distributed to us at the
14	conclusion of the meeting.
15	MR. HAZOURI: Oh, I got you. Okay. I'm
16	sorry.
17	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Do you have a copy of
18	it?
19	MR. HAZOURI: Right, I've got it. Thank
20	you. I'm sorry, but that still concerned me
21	too anyway. It's still a concern, how it was
22	done in that month period, I'm talking about
23	the RFM, and maybe we can get to that at some
24	point that began the transparency part began
25	then and

1	MR. CRESCIMBENI: That would be opposite
2	of this meeting. I'd like to kind of move past
3	everything that we have to deal with
4	MR. HAZOURI: That's fine.
5	MR. CRESCIMBENI: and move forward with
6	conversation and hopefully in an environment
7	that's not clouded by all this
8	MR. HAZOURI: There are a lot of
9	questions.
10	MR. CRESCIMBENI: that has happened.
11	MR. HAZOURI: Yeah.
12	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Okay. I appreciate your
13	comment on that.
14	MR. HAZOURI: Thank you.
15	MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. The last
16	item I had on my agenda today was whether or
17	not this committee might want to consider based
18	on trying to create an environment that in
19	which to receive information that we can depend
20	upon and rely on as being accurate for this to
21	be relied on and consider a special consultant
22	group to help procure information.
23	Someone reached out to me this week that
24	represents a foundation here in the city and
25	expressed the same concerns I had about whether

or not -- let me back up and say, I expressed concerns about the fact that I perceive some of my colleagues having a trust issue at this point on the source of information that we're typically used to relying on and whether or not we could actually have an objective conversation in that environment. And they offered to be -- that they would help underwrite costs of a -- an advisor or a consultant if they -- if we thought that might be helpful in this process. So I wanted to bring that up today.

2.3

I talked briefly with Ms. Sidman about that, and Ms. Sidman has a couple of alternatives. Why don't you go ahead and articulate those just for the record now.

We'll probably need to work through this, but then I want to hear from the committee on if that's an item that you want to pursue. And then, Ms. Sidman, in your charge, you also talk about some of those other rules I'd like to talk earlier — talked about that this committee could use to help bolster a sense of information accuracy, so to speak.

MS. SIDMAN: Sure. Through the Chair,

Councilman Crescimbeni inquired about the structure that's here on their agenda, the independent special committee consultant/advisor.

2.3

As Councilwoman Boyer is well aware, there was an individual for which a not-for-profit paid to help support the task force, and that was --

MR. CRESCIMBENI: The task force on consolidated government.

MS. SIDMAN: Sorry, the task force on consolidated government, and that was a structure that was permitted.

There is also -- as you may recall during the pension time, the Pew Charitable Trust gave information of product and they provided that to the committee. So depending on the structure that the committee wants to set up, there's possibilities. I would just encourage that whatever the structure is set up, that we would be compliant with the permit code if we're going to procure that or -- and/or the -- all the Sunshine and the public records laws that would be in play depending on the structure. So I want to give you those

comments.

2.3

2.4

The second thing that Councilman

Crescimbeni inquired about was about the City

Council's ability in the special committee to

administer an oath. And this came up several

years ago, and we — Office of General Counsel

indicated that there is a rule, Council Rule

2.208, that allows for the chair of a standing

committee to administer an oath or affirmation

to witnesses who appear in front of the

committee for the purpose of testifying at any

matter before the committee. The individual

can choose not to speak or provide testimony.

There is also further provisions in the council rules and in the charter that allow for the council secretary to issue subpoenas to compel testimony and the oath. So I wanted to provide that information at the request of the chair.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Again, I bring all three of these up just for a discussion on creating an environment to receive information we can rely on, that we don't have to second-guess to the level of accuracy that it is.

I can tell you when I was LUZ chair in

1	2010/2011 calendar year, I swore in everybody
2	that spoke at the LUZ meeting because, quite
3	frankly, my years on LUZ, I've always felt like
4	the speakers took great liberty with the truth.
5	And the tool was primarily designed to create a
6	sense that perhaps they're going to be held
7	accountable for misstatements and expectation
8	that might foster a little more accuracy coming
9	from that.
10	So I'm going to throw out all three of
11	these ideas, and we'll hear what the
12	committee
13	MR. HAZOURI: Let me hear the three ideas.
14	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Sorry?
15	MR. HAZOURI: Can you repeat the three
16	ideas?
17	MR. CRESCIMBENI: The three ideas were an
18	independent special committee
19	advisor/consultant, whatever you want to call
20	that person. They could help us procure or
21	validate information that we receive. And
22	again, I've been approached by a local
23	foundation that was prepared to underwrite
24	that all up to a limit.
25	And then we're going to have a court

reporter here so we have a verbatim transcript of all the meetings so there's a preservation of what was said, what wasn't said.

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Ms. Sidman advised me earlier about -- I inquired about the -- the oath at the podium, of our ability to still be able to do that.

And, of course, she mentioned the ability that the council has to people coming to talk to us. So we'll have three members on the -- cue,

Ms. Boyer.

Through the Chair, with MS. BOYER: respect to the first issue of hiring an independent advisor, another option I did not hear mentioned, but there is also a corollary for is the audit that we -- the auditor that we hire works for and under the direction of the council auditor. And so that's always an appropriation that we do and we -- you know, we pick and -- do an RFP and pick and hire someone, but they work under the supervision of the council auditor. And so another option might be in terms of supervision or direction that someone would work under the supervision of the council auditor in terms of providing So that might be another structure. advice.

don't know what -- if Ms. Sidman thinks that's
satisfied or not, but I think it's worth
considering.

1

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

The second point that I'll just -- I know you don't want to go backwards, but in terms of the consultant and/or advisor, I don't know if the RFP that was issued in December is moving forward and that contract is being executed. I know it's not supposed -- with specific reference to JEA, it might be with reference to other matters as well. But I had asked whether there was anything in the budget this year that we had specifically appropriated for funding entering into that contract. I was advised that we had not, but that there were funds through the mayor's transfer authority that could be used to enter into that contract without further council action. So that -that seems like it could proceed simultaneously on a parallel track or not, but that was the information I received back to that inquiry.

And finally as to the swearing in,

Ms. Sidman was nice and didn't mention it, but
that was me too, when we were in the CIP
committee, because we were challenged with

trying to get accurate and correct information on where a number of things were, that we took the position that we needed to start swearing in people, which precipitated some people not wanting to speak, but it did bring to light where there was -- where there was a lack of certainty about information that people had previously presented at certain -- so I see a precedent for that and I would not object if that was something the committee looked at if counsel thought it was appropriate. MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, Ms. Boyer. Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Anderson before you comment, will you raise your right hand. MR. ANDERSON: We can get that. We can do

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

that.

Councilman Crescimbeni, it's just really more of a clarification question. Do -- the role of this independent consultant, how do you see that functioning? Is it -- is it sort of an adjunct to the committee? Is it to vet all the information? I'm just trying to understand what the role would be.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: This is certainly subject to discussion, but initially this is something I thought about early on but didn't know how we would fund it. I was only approached a couple days ago on an offer to help with that, or actually I think they — it would be an offer to cover it outright.

But my idea was to have a person, kind of like a council auditor, that is our check and balance. Kyle doesn't have the expertise -- no disrespect to Kyle, he doesn't have the expertise of utility business.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

2.3

MR. CRESCIMBENI: And I would want to procure someone that would know that business inside and out. Not necessarily what the RFP -- Mr. Hazouri, I looked at you earlier, just looking for someone to facilitate a sale or just somebody that knows the business so that when we start asking questions about the things that were listed in the PFM report that were suggestions or things that we needed to look at -- there is one thing I will say about the PFM report is I didn't -- I didn't walk away from that report with the same perspective

1	that Folio Weekly has this week of advocating a
2	sale. I felt like there were points about
3	these are good things. There were a lot of
4	things in there that cautioned us, you need to
5	look at this, you need to look at that. I just
6	want to I don't know anything about
7	utilities and stuff other than how to operate
8	some appliances and pay my electric bill. So I
9	want somebody who can kind of be the truth
10	meter on the information that we get, is it
11	fact or is it fiction. Is it real or is it
12	bologna. And I just Kyle is busy. I don't
13	think he has the in-depth expertise there, and
14	so my consideration was finding somebody that
15	we could rely on to keep us
16	MR. ANDERSON: I thank you for that
17	clarification. Sometimes I can really look for
18	industry expertise more than anything at this
19	point.
20	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Coupled with buy/sell
21	expertise.
22	MR. ANDERSON: Oh, okay. All right.
23	Thank you.
24	MR. CRESCIMBENI: And just for the record,
25	I attended an underground presentation at

Winter Park in January. There was a guy there, very impressive. And he's dealt mainly with helping cities buy back the infrastructure to create their own utility. It's kind of the opposite of what we're talking about here.

2.3

The PFM report mentioned about at certain points in time franchise agreements or whatever with utilities expire, and they either are renewed or some utilities buy them back.

But the PFM report talked about utilities that had been sold at this point. They didn't mention anything about utilities that had been -- private utilities that had been carved out and gone municipal.

And this conference was in Winter Park, and the reason it was in Winter Park, because Winter Park, a number of years ago, decided when their franchise agreement was up with Progress Energy, or whoever it was, they were done with you, they wanted their own utility, and they bought their territory back from that utility, and then [inaudible] underground. We talked about -- quite fascinating.

All right. Mr. Hazouri.

MR. HAZOURI: There has been a lot of

1	thinking through all this, I guess I have to
2	through the Chair, I just want to make sure I
3	understand the structure and the process of
4	this committee. We're not a voting body, is
5	that correct, or no? Through the Chair, would
6	y'all would decisions be reached in this
7	committee? because a lot of us are appointed.
8	We want to we're interested in what's going
9	on. And unlike a standing committee, meaning
10	you can't vote if you attend the meetings, but
11	are we is there going to be a vote of some
12	kind to come out of this at the end or just a
13	recommendation with a vote? How do you do
14	that?
15	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I refer you to the
16	charge in the committee.
17	MR. HAZOURI: Okay.
18	MR. CRESCIMBENI: We're not going to make
19	any recommendations without taking some sort of
20	poll of the committee about what the
21	recommendations
22	MR. HAZOURI: There's going to be a poll.
23	MR. CRESCIMBENI: We are going to be
24	voting on whatever we present to the council as
25	we wrap up our work here.

1	MR. HAZOURI: Okay. So let me ask you
2	this, so I'm attending and say others are
3	attending these meetings maybe on a regular
4	basis or what have you, and we have and we
5	hired an independent consultant, we're going to
6	be having to expedite that obviously because
7	that four-month camp that is you know,
8	mentioned about how long this committee would
9	last. So how can we will we be able to
10	ask the same questions if you do the subpoena
11	or whatever you want to do, are we able to do
12	the same thing as the committee does or are
13	we
14	MR. CRESCIMBENI: It should be
15	MR. HAZOURI: Excuse me? For those who
16	are on the special committee.
17	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Yes, sir. Mr. Hazouri,
18	this will be just like any other committee.
19	I've got there's five members of the
20	committee. We'll have the ability to make
21	motions, take vote on motions, and anybody else
22	that's not on the committee to participate with
23	recommendations, ask questions just like a
24	regular committee.
25	MR. HAZOURI: I just wanted

MR. CRESCIMBENI: The same --

2.3

MR. HAZOURI: Well, the subpoena thing kind of adds a new dimension to it. You've been through it. I don't know how it works with those who aren't technically on the -- let me just follow up, then, with that.

So we have subpoen powers if we do that.

I can anticipate what -- what happens if we -
I hate to use the term "perjury." I've been

watching too much for me CNN. What -- if

something happens that we think that they

didn't tell the truth, what is their penalty or

what is their punishment, or is there a

punishment that we can levy as a council body?

Is that a legitimate question to ask? I just

want to know how far we can go.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: That's a legitimate question. I don't have the answer to that.

Ms. Sidman, can you comment on that?

MS. SIDMAN: Through the Chair, that would be something that we would get with law enforcement, the State Attorney's Office and talk about and leave that over to them, because even though you have the power to do the subpoenas and issue the subpoenas and compel

testimony, the penalty thereof would have to go through the entire due process that this body doesn't have to dole out.

2.3

MR. HAZOURI: Okay. Will we -- the last question, then, as you mentioned to Mr. Pope a while ago, if we call the JEA members or their staff or whomever for questioning and all, they're going to be -- it's going to apply to them as well. Now, do you expect to call them to ask questions of them? I guess it was

Mr. Becton was asking about that. Are we --

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Hazouri, we've already had a request from a committee member today to have JEA leadership come back and talk about, you know, the enhanced value of a utility and how they — what's their plan to combat slipping sales. So whether they come or not, I don't know. Will they answer questions when they're here, I don't know. They haven't agreed to the subpoena, we haven't agreed to do an oath. These are ideas on the table.

MR. HAZOURI: I don't mind all the ideas.

I like the ideas. I just want to know what's going to be real and what's not, what we can do. I don't want to play, you know, the

intelligence committee of the House or Senate, but I just want to make sure that what we do, I want to get the correct answers, just like all of us do, and I want to make sure that we're in position.

1

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

So if we -- if we decide to subpoena somebody and they decide not to go -- I guess I'm watching too much -- in the last month or so, I'm watching too much, for me, CNN, but what is -- I mean, can we require them to come and will they be in contempt if they don't? These are just questions that -- I just wonder what -- how far we can go to get to the truth.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: How about we have Ms. Sidman kind of work out all the different scenarios of what could or couldn't happen, and maybe she could file a one- or two-page white paper and just distribute it to the council --

MR. HAZOURI: Yeah, because we want somebody to come here if we think they have the answers, and they say, well, I'm not going to come, then can we do anything about it? That's the question. Thank you.

> MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, Mr. Hazouri. Ms. Brosche.

Powers Reporting, Inc. 301 West Bay Street, Suite 1418 Jacksonville, FL 32202

1 MS. BROSCHE: Thank you, Mr. chairman. the three ideas, I appreciate your 3 clarification on the truth here of the independent consultant. Would this person --4 also much like you're asking Mr. Billy to do 6 certain analysis, would this person also be 7 helpful in answering questions if we think that -- that they or the expertise would be the 9 best person to help us understand the lay of 10 the land, would they fulfill that role as well? 11 MR. CRESCIMBENI: I think that's a 12 decision that the five of us are going to have 13 to make, what would be our expectations of a 14 special committee consultant/advisor. If we're 15 going to go look for somebody, we're going to 16 have to kind of nail down what we think are 17 going to be --18 MS. BROSCHE: Okay. Well, I'm definitely 19 in favor of having somebody that we can rely on 20 to help us understand and make sure that we are 21 getting the right information. 22 To the oath question, or administering an 2.3 oath, I am in favor of doing that from the 24 beginning, because I do agree that it sets a 25 tone of us trying to get to the truth. And I

prefer not to have to throw the flag at some point and call anybody out on how that happens, because if it happens from the beginning, then that's exactly how it will proceed the entire time.

2.3

I am going to do my best as well to kind of follow your recommendation to ignore questions that have come up in terms of the flow of information, and I appreciate you making that suggestion at the beginning.

I will also say that to the extent that any of the answers to my questions in the process happen to intersect with a number of things that have been taking place, that warrant questions, I will go there, hopefully at your permission, because the question of — as an example, and I'm limiting it to one because others have already been brought up, but the timing of Mr. McElroy's contract negotiations and things like that, I think there are some things that do intersect with what's happening and what — the question that we have before us, and I think that there's going to be some gray there, and so I'll look to you for guidance as we continue to go

1 through this process. I thank you very much. 2 MR. CRESCIMBENI: Okay. Thank you, 3 Ms. Brosche. Mr. Dennis. 4 5 MR. DENNIS: Through the Chair, on your 6 three recommendations, I do support those. 7 Also, this advisor/consultant, now, I 8 don't know, this non profit, this foundation 9 that's willing to pay, will this person have a 10 legal background as well? I'm sort of curious 11 about on that. 12 Also Councilwoman Boyer mentioned about 13 the PFM RFP that went out and did we budget 14 money for -- for the administration to go into 15 contract, and she said that the administration 16 has, you know, the authority to move money in 17 order to enter into a contract. 18 And I know prior to being elected on this 19 body, this council, the previous city council, 20 which, you know, three people were here, was 21 four with Councilwoman Boyer, you-all limited 22 the transfer and spending power of Mayor Brown. When we were elected in 2015, we increased 2.3 24 that and gave the current administration the

authority to spend more without coming to the

25

council. Because this is a very sensitive issue and there are a lot of questions, we need to get to the bottom of it, I would like to limit the spending powers of this administration. Just like the last council mentioned here, three former — three of you—all were here when you limit the spending power of Mayor Brown.

2.3

I think we're in a situation to where we need to move forward with limiting the spending and transfer authority of this administration until we finish the work of this body, and then we can increase it or have a time limit.

research and provide when that ordinance was passed limiting Mayor Brown's spending and transfer authority, and then when this council of 2015 increased it, increased the spending and transfer authority to this administration.

And then I would like to work on legislation to limit and restrict this administration from spending so they will not go into contract till after this committee body of work is completed.

Then my next statement, I know that right now this committee is going to be working every

two weeks, but I think with all of the information, everything that we have to do, I surely believe that we need to accelerate, maybe not meet every two weeks, you know, maybe weekly. That's just my recommendation because if we're talking about, you know, two meetings in March, two meetings in April, two meetings in May, I think it's so much information and -and -- you know, and I think it's going to be coming fast and furious. I don't know if, you know, every two weeks will do it. Just my idea, but -- but again, the main thing is that, you know, I would like the information when -you know, three of -- three -- you know, four council members restricted Mayor Brown's spending authority and then when we increased it and authorized it in 2015. Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you. Just for clarification purposes, the mayor's transfer authority has always been restricted. And what happened, Mayor Brown was — the limits were reduced from one prescription level, then over prescription level. But that's all always been — there's always been some sort of restriction. That amount has fluctuated and

1	then it did go back up after the
2	administration
3	MR. DENNIS: And so thank you,
4	Councilman Crescimbeni, for that clarification.
5	So I think while this body this
6	committee is doing the work, I think we need to
7	lower that restriction. And it doesn't have to
8	be indefinitely, but lower that even, you know,
9	for the length of this of this committee.
10	But I think we need to act very quickly and
11	very judicially in reducing that. So I don't
12	know if that's something that we can break up
13	as a committee or do as an individual council
14	member introduction of of legislation. So I
15	would like that information, whenever you
16	provide it.
17	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Ms. Sidman, Mr do
18	you remember what the previous limit was prior
19	to the reduction in the Brown administration,
20	where it is now?
21	MR. BILLY: Through the Chair, we're
22	talking amongst ourselves, and it was 750- at
23	one point. We believe it went down to 250-,
24	and now it's back at 500
25	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Okay. That was with our

1	legislation.
2	MR. BILLY: Yes.
3	MR. CRESCIMBENI: And that would be of
4	course, it was on an expedited path. It would
5	be probably the end of April before we the
6	last council meeting in April before
7	MR. DENNIS: Through the Chair, I'm
8	willing to offer it as an emergency, see how it
9	goes text Tuesday. If my colleagues if
10	you-all don't support an emergency, I guess
11	we'll go the regular route. But this is
12	something I think is very, very important, that
13	we need to do everything we can to put the
14	brakes on it till we until we you know,
15	until this committee finishes its report and
16	its investigation.
17	So I'm willing to get with Ms. Sidman
18	between now and, I guess, Monday to be ready
19	for Tuesday. And I'll be I'll see if we can
20	get
21	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Okay.
22	MR. DENNIS: Thank you.
23	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, Mr. Dennis.
24	Ms. Morgan.
25	MS. MORGAN: Yes, thank you so much.

To the Chair, I do also support your recommendations. My question about the possible consultant/advisor is -- is -- is parameters, and I suppose that would be something that we would determine once we choose a person with -- or --

2.3

MR. CRESCIMBENI: I think we have to -- I think the foundation would expect us to come up with some sort of scope of services and -- that they would have to sign off on before they would underwrite what we wanted to have done.

MS. MORGAN: All right.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: So I would encourage ——
I mean, that's my understanding of the offer
that was made. I don't think it was write a
blank check for whatever you want to do.

So what my recommendation was going to be at the end of this meeting was perhaps asking for a couple of committee volunteers that might want to sit down and develop a scope of services or qualifications, whatever you want to call it. Quite frankly, we may need to have some help with the council auditor, but it's a complex issue. This is a very -- the subject matter is a very complex issue. Finding -- or

identifying the party who is interested is going to be difficult because we're all not experts in this field. So --

2.3

MS. MORGAN: And then to piggyback on what Council President Brosche said, I think it was her, about how this person interacts with us and with us as the committee, because I guess we would just be asking — this person would sit here with us just like we have our experts over here and — and if we needed consultation or needed clarification, we would just go to our advisor consultant.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Yes. In addition to I think the right advisor/consultant could also help us make sure we're asking the right questions, we're looking under the right rocks, so to speak. I think they could bring positive information, having — they're familiar with this process, bring a lot of information on what we be might be overlooking that we should be considering.

This report gave us the categories of things we should look at, but I have no idea whether that's a complete list of things we should look at or if that's just a bare

1	perspective of what we're supposed to look at.
2	I think I think someone that came to work
3	for us might be able to help us with that and
4	say, hey, listen that's a good list, but I got
5	14 more things you need to look at.
6	MS. MORGAN: So basically what you're
7	saying, Mr. Chair, is that since this would be
8	our consultant/advisor, we this committee
9	would possibly have another opportunity in
10	between our meetings to have a noticed meeting
11	with our consultant to talk about things that
12	we possibly needed assistance with.
13	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Yes. As a committee
14	member, you'd be able to meet with them
15	independently of a noticed meeting or if you
16	wanted to meet with other council members, that
17	would happen, of course.
18	MS. MORGAN: Okay. Thank you.
19	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I would see that as a
20	resource, just about anything we would need
21	related to this conversation.
22	MS. MORGAN: All right. Thank you.
23	MR. CRESCIMBENI: But scoping out
24	qualifications for such a person is going to be
25	a task. It is.

Mr. Love.

2.3

MR. LOVE: Thank you. Through the Chair to the committee, I definitely agree that we need an expert in utilities, an advisor/consultant that's going to check what they hear from the folks.

As far as the subpoena power and swearing in, I think -- my suggestion is to wait until you read the white paper from Ms. Sidman before you decide that. I can see where we're swearing people in, maybe they won't -- you know, won't want to come up here, or if -- and what the pros and cons are before we decide, so -- of course, if they don't want to come, you can subpoena them, right? But certainly I would want to read what Ms. Sidman had to say before we make that decision.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. Thank you, Mr. Love.

Mr. Becton.

MR. BECTON: Thank you. Through the Chair, Mr. Love just kind of changed a little bit of my verbiage here because I think he makes a very good point because — but it's a caution. Certainly we want to get all the

facts, that's what we here for, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

You know, on the surface, the subpoens -you know, getting -- from what Council Member
Brosche said, if we're going to do it, we do
need to start it from the beginning and not
just, you know, have an arbitrary starting
point, which would identify somebody that we
are suspicious is not doing that, so that would
make a lot of sense.

I do want to comment on my -- Council Member Dennis regarding the change of transfer power. Well, you know, I think from the very beginning, the mayor has kept emphasizing that he's not -- you know, he's neutral, he's not behind the sale of JEA and so forth. And in an abundance of respect for the administration and that we're partners in this, I think perhaps we can get the administration to come up and us just kind of make a very legislative recommendation to say, please hold -- we're going through this fair process for which you have stated that, you know, you're watch- -you're probably watching here too, that the perception of executing any RFP would not have very good optics, and let's just mutually agree

that that won't happen.

2.3

And certainly I do feel and trust the administration that if they came up here before us and said, that's not going to happen while this committee is in session, while no decision has been made, then I think I can feel comfortable that changing the limits is taking a sledgehammer to a problem that you might just mutually agree to resolve, and there wouldn't be any optics of us, you know, trying to be heavy-handed in a situation where the mayor might need something between now and then to — and we've limited that type of authority.

So my recommendation on that would be to have the administration come up and understand our position on this, that -- and see perhaps how we can work it out in a respectful way.

Ms. Sidman, as far as this advisor, as

Council Member Dennis was alluding, you know,

if we do do bimonthly meetings here, we've only

got six, maybe seven meetings to do all this.

I mean, what would be the process of us trying

to find the individual of this nature? I mean,

the Chair mentioned that he ran in to an

individual, but is there anything that by the

time we figured out who that person was, we're into May and June and we're past due, or is there something that will happen that will expedite that and we will have somebody upon this committee make a decision, I guess, sitting here at the next or the meeting after next?

2.3

MS. SIDMAN: Through the Chair, I think that the committee needs to decide on the scope of the work that's needed and the expertise, and then once the committee decides on that, you'll be able to see who would meet those qualifications. But you're right, there is the time for that decision and the time for impaneling that individual, so —

MR. BECTON: So if someone else is hiring this individual, so is this individual going to be under our RFP procurement process of any sorts, you know? I mean, where -- where does that come down on?

MS. SIDMAN: Those are all -- depending on the structure that you use, those are all the items that I would want to be able to advise you on. I wasn't sure if it was going to be the not-for-profit going forth and bringing you

back a report. Listening to your dialogue today, it sounds, no, it's going to be an individual that's providing you information that's more similar to the — the task force individual that was hired to provide information and collect information for the task force. So that happened to be done by legislation through that particular one just because the not-for-profit gave the money to the City and then the City allocated that contract to the individual. So it depends on the structure on how you do that.

2.3

MR. BECTON: So is this realistic that we could have this person in a reasonable amount of time in order to -- to accomplish what it is that we're looking to accomplish? Because we're going to, I assume, kind of hit the ground running at the next meeting as this is very organizational and in scoping out where we want to go.

I mean, the next meeting, I assume we're going to be having -- maybe even have leadership up here giving us information that if this individual is not in place, they'll -- I guess they'll have to just watch the tape,

but we won't have that person to say do you agree or disagree. So you look at this -- I guess is it realistic to think we can do this properly?

2.3

MS. SIDMAN: I think that — that council has the opportunity to have noticed meetings and dialogue about the qualifications. You have resources that are available to you that can — the auditors may help you to say this is the type of people that you might look at.

There's opportunities for you to have those noticed meetings in between times that you can dialogue about that person to expedite the process.

MR. BECTON: Okay. Through the Chair, since -- you gave us a lot of -- that you thought about this, it seems like you thought about this. How do you -- how do you see this transpiring and getting this asset if we were to do this on -- you know, on staff sitting here with us in an amount of time that they would be helpful?

MR. CRESCIMBENI: I think that famous line from the movie A Few Good Men, one of the attorneys asked, how are you going to get him

to confess online? And Tom Cruise says, I've got no idea.

1

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

But it's going to be difficult because we are under a time constraint, and we've got -- I don't know how fast we can procure somebody, and -- but I think it's essential. And I set this up as kind of an every other-week-meeting schedule, but we're certainly not married to I'm already -- I mean, my colleague over that. here asked for another meeting. If you want to meet next Thursday, I think we can get -- I would like to ask a couple of you committee members to come up with a scope of services or qualifications or whatever and report back by next Thursday. I'm hoping Ms. Sidman might be able to comment back next Thursday on how we can facilitate this with the foundation. I'm prepared to meet as often as we need to. Listen, we need to accomplish that. council was meeting twice each, so -- or the LUZ committee was meeting more, so I'm in this for the long haul. It's important.

This is probably the most complex issue

I've ever seen. I think this is more complex
than pension. And we're going to do it right.

It may take a time commitment that will involve additional time from this committee to get it right.

MR. BECTON: Okay. Just wanted to, you know, understand as we kind of leave here today what it's going to take. It sounds like we're kind of in agreement that this would be definitely an asset to the committee of how we can get that engaged sooner rather than later.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: And frankly, you know, I thought about this idea, but I factored in how to introduce legislation and find a funding source that full council — I mean, you know, a calendar and the time block just really wasn't going to make that happen. But just by chance, someone approached me. That's a different ballgame.

Mr. Anderson.

2.3

MR. ANDERSON: Just a quick question.

The -- the committee charge -- through the

Chair to the president or the Chair, however

you want to do that. It's pretty broad, and so

my question is that I think we have to come in

through committees next week, at least two

pieces of legislation that deal with this --

1 somewhat deal with this subject. Mr. Shellenberg, I think, has a bill, also it's 3 been deferred for several cycles. Is it your 4 intention that this committee provide a 5 recommendation or an opinion on the pending 6 legislation? Since we'll be seeing that fairly 7 soon, I just wanted your thoughts on that. Thank you. 9 I haven't really thought MR. CRESCIMBENI: 10 about it. If this committee would like to 11 opine on pending legislation, I think they 12 can -- probably could do that. But what's the 13 other piece of legislation? 14 MR. ANDERSON: Well, you introduced a 15 couple of pieces of legislation, and then 16 Mr. Shellenberg's got the --17 MR. CRESCIMBENI: The charter amendment 18 that would actually amend the charter, that's a 19 60-day-cycle charter. There are some public hearing windows that don't necessarily match up 20 21 to our traditional public hearing schedule that 22 the council with the charter change. So as 2.3 soon as we can deal with that would be April, 24 probably end of April. And the resolution to

put something on the ballot, a small ballot

25

1	item, won't back anything up. I don't think
2	that's anything that really needs to
3	MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
4	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Ms. Brosche, Council
5	Member Brosche?
6	MS. BROSCHE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
7	just wanted to respond to your request for
8	committee members. I'm happy to volunteer.
9	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I asked because you were
10	one of the names on my list. Thank you for
11	doing that.
12	Mr. Hazouri briefly.
13	MR. HAZOURI: Briefly.
14	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Briefly, because we need
15	to wrap up. We're only noticed for
16	MR. HAZOURI: It's just a thought that I
17	wanted to share with y'all. Wearing two hats
18	again, and with all due respect to Mr. Dennis,
19	we started off I think Ms. Brosche said it,
20	you said it, we want to start with a clean
21	slate when we're talking about truth in respect
22	to the office. I'm not talking about the
23	individual now or before, in the office as
24	mayor, that we should not have to go and start
25	going regressing back to what happened

during the Brown administration and now in the Kirby administration.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I would give him the benefit of the doubt. If we're talking about truth, to give him the benefit of the doubt just like we're giving everybody else that comes before this committee. I don't think it shows respect for that office, again, not just the individual, but for that office to go back and say, well, we're going to hold off on your dollars, if we're thinking that way. And I know that Mr. Garrett probably is saying, there he goes, he's supporting the mayor or something like that, I don't want to speak for you, but it's not the case of that. It's if we're starting with a clean slate, then by gosh, let's make it clean and give the mayor the benefit of the doubt, give this committee the benefit of the doubt, and move forward and not look back.

MR. CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, Mr. Hazouri.

All right. In closing, I've got a few action items I've written down. Let me -- very quickly. We're going to invite JEA leadership back to talk about the declining revenues and how they intend to combat that.

In the event there is not a sale, we're going to have a report -- I think JEA's going to work with the council auditor on developing a five-year look-back on -- a ten-year look-back on revenue and expenditures on some basic categories, nothing too detailed just so we can see what's up and what's down across the board over a ten-year period.

2.3

JEA's going to produce a report on philanthropic expenditures, I think that's for five years, as well as volunteerism efforts by their employees.

Ms. Boyer, I think, asked for some information from JEA on FEMA reimbursements from the past two storms, so they're going to work on that.

Councilwoman Boyer also asked that the council auditor explain at our next meeting some detail on the franchise fee and the utility tax components thereof, et cetera, and what they're applied to.

And then I will like the administration to come to our next meeting. Mr. Becton, you made it a point to simply ask them, see what they say, and I can't imagine that they wouldn't

1	disagree.
2	So with that said, Ms. Brosche's
3	volunteered to work on development of some
4	qualifications or scope of services. Does
5	anyone care to volunteer for that?
6	MR. DENNIS: I will.
7	MR. BECTON: Sure, I will.
8	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Becton, you're
9	buying
10	And do we want to meet in two weeks? I
11	think we have some deliverables when we're back
12	here in two weeks and get those
13	MS. MORGAN: Yes.
14	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I don't know if anybody
15	has a conflict next Thursday. I don't know
16	what I have we'll
17	MS. BROSCHE: Next Thursday?
18	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I'm sorry, next
19	Thursday. We're scheduled to meet two weeks on
20	the 22nd, but
21	MR. BECTON: Nothing I can't change.
22	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I think we can probably
23	have some information come back.
24	Mr. Billy, did you say that you could
25	possibly be ready in a week or you kind of

1	picked a middle number, ten days.
2	MR. BILLY: Through the Chair, I said a
3	week and a half but certainly by the next
4	meeting, which on the agenda said March 22nd.
5	MR. CRESCIMBENI: If we moved it up to the
6	next if we moved it up to the 15th, would
7	that have any problems?
8	MR. BILLY: I mean, I don't think I'd have
9	my report issued by then.
10	MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. Well, I
11	think we have some deliverables. We can
12	certainly spend some time talking about where
13	we are on the scope of services and
14	qualifications.
15	Ms. Brosche.
16	MS. BROSCHE: Yes, something else that I
17	would like to add to the list is that we would
18	receive some direction from the general counsel
19	on this process, which led me to some
20	questions. And so I'd like to add that not
21	necessarily to the next meeting. It can fit
22	wherever it fits with respect to
23	MR. CRESCIMBENI: You want to ask the
24	questions now or do you want to ask them at the
25	next meeting?

1	MS. BROSCHE: I would say ask at the next
2	meeting, I don't see Mr. Gabriel here, about
3	the memo that he issued.
4	MR. CRESCIMBENI: You want me to invite
5	Mr. Gabriel to come?
6	MS. BROSCHE: Sure. That would be great.
7	MR. CRESCIMBENI: All right. So does
8	anybody have any opposition to meeting next
9	Thursday? Same time, same place. Is an hour
10	and a half acceptable? All good?
11	Also, do we want to take public comment?
12	MS. MORGAN: No, not then. I can meet
13	next Thursday. No, I can meet next week.
14	One of the things that I do want to say,
15	Mr. Chair, though, is that since we were
16	talking about the fact that we do want our
17	consultant in and we do want to expedite that
18	process, how can we expedite that process so
19	that we either have have a meeting to
20	have a noticed meeting to talk about those
21	qualifications, get that done, and either move
22	quicker on that to be ready by the next meeting
23	to put that out? Is that possible?
24	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Well, if we meet next
25	week, I'm hoping that Mr. Becton and

1	Ms. Brosche will have a meeting or two with
2	Ms. Sidman and whoever to help to try to
3	formulate a list, and we'll just have to see
4	where we stand next Thursday and but offer a
5	position to move forward.
6	Ms. Sidman, how are we to communicate to
7	the council members on the subcommittee, the
8	foundation has reached out so that they can
9	have they can start a dialogue with the
10	foundation?
11	MS. SIDMAN: The question is, how do you
12	communicate with your fellow council members on
13	the one-way dialogue? Is that the question?
14	MS. MORGAN: One-way.
15	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Yeah.
16	MS. SIDMAN: Just a one-way dialogue? So
17	one-way dialogue is permitted as long as nobody
18	responds to that. Sometimes the council
19	chooses to go through their council secretary
20	and have her send that out, communication. But
21	one way, as long as no one responds, you're
22	fine.
23	MR. CRESCIMBENI: Okay. All right. So
24	next week, that's any objections to next
25	week?

	All right. Anything else to come before
2	today's meeting? Ms. Brosche.
3	MS. BROSCHE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
4	Through the Chair to Ms. Sidman, I think you
5	described the model for the consultant that was
6	used on the task force for consolidated
7	government. It seems like that would be
8	helpful to have prepared, and hopefully we can
9	walk into that structure pretty quickly, but
10	assuming that structure and have us hopefully
11	have the scope of services, meet, and ask that
12	we expedite things. Thank you.
13	MR. CRESCIMBENI: And as I understood the
14	discussion to be, we do want to adopt the
15	speaker cards or the oath at the podium; is
16	that correct?
17	MS. BROSCHE: No.
18	MR. BECTON: Like Council Member Love was
19	saying
20	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I was on the oath at the
21	podium, do we want to swear people in?
22	MR. BECTON: Yes.
23	MR. CRESCIMBENI: And Ms. Sidman is going
24	to follow up on the subpoena.
	All right. I want to thank everybody for

1 coming today. This was an hour-and-a-half-noticed meeting. I apologize 3 if you were expecting more. I think we 4 accomplished a lot. I'm not sure what you were 5 anticipating would happen today. We didn't 6 hold an auction to sell the utility, so that's 7 a good thing. But in the future, we may be in a position to take public comment. We're on a 9 very tight schedule and we were noticed for an 10 hour and a half. No disrespect, but we just 11 couldn't afford out of the gate to spend 30 12 minutes or 40 minutes on public comment, so I 13 hope you'll bear with us until we get rolling 14 here. And hopefully we can have some comment 15 in the future meetings. 16 Ms. Brosche. 17 MS. BROSCHE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 And on that note with respect to the meeting 19 being noticed for an hour and a half, I didn't 20 catch that it was, so I just blocked it till 21 six when the building is closed. And so in 22 future meetings, should we plan on it being an

two hours or until six o'clock?

hour and a half or should we plan on it being

MR. BECTON: You have 15 minutes to get

2.3

24

25

1	out.
2	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I don't know what's
3	the if we stay past six, is that an
4	additional expense for is that an additional
5	expense for the front security people?
6	MS. BROSCHE: I think it is. I think
7	arrangements have to be made. And so much like
8	we had another meeting well, actually the
9	February 14th meeting, we adjourned around 5:50
10	to make sure everybody
11	MR. CRESCIMBENI: I'm we'll notice it
12	for two hours next time, have some time to have
13	some comment.
14	All right. That's everything for today.
15	Thank you-all. Thank you, committee members.
16	Mr. Love, I appreciate you staying in
17	here. And we'll see everybody here again next
18	week at 3:30. This meeting is adjourned.
19	(Proceedings concluded at 5:14 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL)
4	
5	I, Stephanie Powers Cusimano, Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for
7	the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that
8	I was authorized to and did stenographically report
9	the proceedings and that the foregoing transcript,
LO	pages 1 through 86, is a true record of my stenographic
L1	notes.
L2	
L3	I further certify that I am not a relative,
L 4	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
L5	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
L6	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am
L7	I financially interested in the action.
L8	
L9	DATED this 15th day of March 2018.
20	
21	Stephanie Powers Cusimano
22	RPR, Court Reporter
23	
24	
25	